

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,856	06/20/2001	William G. Dennis	0670004	3188
75	90 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Tony D. Alexander			HAYES, MICHAEL J	
McGuire Woods LLP One James Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
901 East Cary Street			3763	el
Richmond, VA	23219		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Core of the contract of the co					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurred	09/885,856	DENNIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J Hayes	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-39</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-39</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>32-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the upper body portion comprising an inner support member as recited in claim 33 (fig. 3 shows the inner support member engaging the lower body portions and does not appear to be part of the upper body portion), the shoulder of the inner support member as recited in claim 34 (the specification describes a shoulder 29 of the lower body portion at pg 8, Para 2), a shoulder extending from the transition wall into interior portion of ht lower body portion as recited in claim 36 (transition wall shoulder 25 extends outwardly as seen in fig. 3 and discussed at pg 8, Para 1), and frusto-conical lower sealing member as recited in claim 38 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show mounting portion 41 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no antecedent basis for frusto-conical lower sealing member.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,856

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FLATLAND et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,752,938). Flatland discloses an instrument seal assembly including an upper body portion defining a throughbore, a cylindrical wall protector, a lower body portion defining a cannula receiving opening, a valve seal with upper 45 and lower 32 portions. Flatland further discloses an inner support member, having a shoulder, extending into the interior portion (See support member of figs. 23, 29), a sloped transition wall between the upper and lower portions with a shoulder extending from the wall where the shoulders of the wall and support member mate (see bottom of forked section supporting seal 45), a seal between wall shoulder and support member shoulder, and a frusto-conical sealing member. See Figs. 23, 29.

Claims 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SMITH et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,603,702). Smith discloses an instrument seal assembly including an upper body portion defining a throughbore, a lower body portion defining a cannula receiving opening, a valve seal with upper and lower portions, and a cylindrical wall protector between the throughbore and the upper seal portion. Smith further discloses an inner support member, having a shoulder, mating with the shoulder of a sloped transition wall and a seal between the two. See Figs. 13, 15.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,856

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 32, 33, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by HABER et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,391,153). Haber discloses an instrument seal assembly including an upper body portion defining a throughbore, a cylindrical wall protector 100, a lower body portion defining a cannula receiving opening, a valve seal with upper and lower portions. Haber further discloses an inner support member 104, with a shoulder extending into the interior, a lower body portion with a sloped transition wall that mates upper body to lower body 10 as seen in fig. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FLATLAND, SMITH, or HABER as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of ANTOON, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 5,628,732). Flatland, Smith, or Haber each disclose the claimed invention except for a reinforcing layer in the valve seal. Antoon discloses a valve seal assembly with a seal having a reinforcing layer 53 that can be integral, encapsulated or separate (col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Antoon in the seal assemblies of Flatland, Smith, or Haber in order to protect the seal from tearing.



Application/Control Number: 09/885,856

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Flatland does not show a cylindrical wall protector extending inwardly or extending between the throughbore and upper seal portion. The examiner disagrees and refers to figs. 23 and 29 which show a wall protector extending into the interior of the upper body portion. This extension into the interior places the wall protector between the throughbore and the valve seal.

Applicant argues that Smith does not show a valve seal mounted to the upper body portion, but rather mounted to the lower body portion. The examiner does not agree and refers to figs 13 and 15 where the upper body portion is shown and the upper seal portion is mounted in the upper body portion. The lower body portion (from the sloped transition wall and below) does not mount the upper seal portion.

Applicant argues that Haber does not have a cylindrical wall portion extending inwardly into an interior of the upper body portion, but a boss that does not extend into the interior. The examiner does not agree and refers to fig. 4 where the upper body portion is shown above the sloped transition wall connecting to the lower body portion 10. The cylindrical wall portion 100 extends into the interior of the upper body portion.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. VANDENBROEK et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,709,664) shows a seal assembly with upper and lower body portions, wall protector, and a unitary seal with upper and lower portions.



Art Unit: 3763

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

mjh 14 April 2004

> MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER

M/ Hayes